PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Linda Caplice
DOCKET NO.: 05-25827.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-05-113-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Linda Caplice, the appellant, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 69-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction containing 2,266 square feet of living area and situated on a 7,860 square foot parcel. Features of the home include two full bathrooms, a full-unfinished basement, air-conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car detached garage. The subject is located in Lyons Township, Cook County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on three properties suggested as comparable to the The appellant also submitted photographs and Cook subject. County Assessor's Internet Database sheets for the subject and the suggested comparables as well as a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the three suggested comparables consist of two-story, single-family dwellings of frame or stucco construction located within 9.5 blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,226 to 2,948 square feet of living area and range in age from 76 to 122 years. The comparables contain two or two and one-half bathrooms, a full-finished or unfinished basement, conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$11.63 to \$15.32 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted,

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,488 IMPR.: \$ 44,340 TOTAL: \$ 52,828

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5565

the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$52,828. The subject's improvement assessment is \$44,340 or \$19.57 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, singlefamily dwellings of frame construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,823 to 2,148 square feet of living area and range in age from 62 to 76 years. The comparables contain from one to two and one-half bathrooms, a partial or full-unfinished basement, a fireplace and one-car or two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$21.47 to \$23.55 per square foot of living Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant submitted a one-page letter indicating that the following items on the Comparable Sales/Assessment Equity Grid Analysis submitted by the board of review are incorrect: the subject was purchased on September 1, 1995 for \$270,000 and not on July 1, 2002 for \$630,000; the subject's sale price per square foot is \$119.15 and not \$278.02; and the subject contains four bedrooms and not five. The appellant further disclosed that the appellant's comparable one is located within 300 feet of the subject and is similar to the subject in property class, style, size, age and finish.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparable one and the board of review's comparables two and three to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These three properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, design, age and location and have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.32 to \$23.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$19.57 falls within the range

established by these properties. The Board finds the appellant's comparable two to be significantly larger than the subject and the appellant's comparable three is much older. The board's remaining comparable is accorded less weight because it differs from the subject in size. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject property was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted. Member

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Thulad Shulf

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 25, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\underline{\text{PETITION}}$ AND $\underline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$ WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.